# Study of Capped Metallic Nanoparticles with Infrared Spectroscopy

Melanie Pierce, University of Portland REU, Summer 2011 Oana Malis, Purdue University

http://inhabitat.com/researchers-shine-light-on-gold-nanoparticles-to-produce-electricit

# Goals

- Observe the unique infrared spectrum of the organic-capped metallic nanoparticles
- Determine the correlation between organics and the IR spectra of the particles
- Investigate the best method for producing a uniform thin film

### Importance

- Variable properties due to size, shape and organic capping agents.
- High value in catalysis, biomedicine
- Gold and platinum expensive; copper possible alternative?



http://www.nanopaprika.eu/photo/laser-generated-metal-1

# Nanoparticle Synthesis

- Synthesized with organics
- Keeps metals from oxidizing
- Morphology not always spherical





(a)

(b)

- (a) One-step route for synthesis of bimetallic or tri-metallic nanoparticles,
- (b) Loading on support materials and activation treatment.

# **FTIR Spectroscopy**

- Interferogram -> IR spectrum Fourier Transform
- Using continuous scan interferometry: moving mirror in continuous motion.
- Mid IR range  $\rightarrow$  4000cm^-1 to 400cm^-1, or 2.5-25 microns



# **Initial Steps**

- Silicon substrate
- Drop-cast method of deposition knowingly not uniform
- What peaks are most prominent? For what samples?
- Tetraoctylammonium bromide (TOABr) present in most toluene solutions
- Design a fixture for samples





# Narrowing Focus

- Several different metallic samples with different properties
- Copper solutions
  - More visible, and prominent IR peaks
  - Smaller particle size and presence of TOABr



Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) image of Cu NPs 5-7nm in diameter

http://www.ssnano.com/inc/sdetail/370



Sinde Bæm

# Cu -- effect of added quantity6 drops, in the center of the drop area6 drops, on green ring- higher concentration

As the concentration increases...



# **Manipulating Properties**

- Capped with dodecanethiol. <sub>H3C</sub>
- Heating the Cu samples-Copper sulfide nanocrystals?
- Limited to <125°C-TOABr blocked IR light above temperature</li>
- Created a different spectrum
- How can heating be used to control the behavior of the particles?

C-S Cleavage C-

http://pubs.acs.org/doi/pdfplus/10.1021/cm903038w

#### Cu before and after heating at ~125°C for 1 hour





Possibility that this is TOABr related– don't know how it behaves under higher temperatures.

### TOABr before and after heating, 120°C, 30 minutes

#### Heated TOABr sample



### Cu with TOABr with TOABr- both heat treated

**TOABr** annealed





# Analysis

- Unusual peaks formed
- Different possible causes
  - Copper sulfide compounds? Different formulas.
  - Nanocrystals vs nanodisks vs nanospheres...
  - TOABr and capping agent reacting?
  - Just the Copper?
- FTIR can be used to identify properties unique to the

nanoparticles/capping agents

### **Plasma Etching to Remove Organics**

- Etched for 70 seconds
- Only Cu had visible change
  - Caused by heating?
- Didn't remove all organics
- Longer time or thinner sample?



Initial Cu sample

After 30 seconds

After 70 seconds



Could the strange change be just a shift? Is it something new entirely?

#### Graph of Cu with TOABr etched vs annealed

Etched sample

Annealed sample



Could this be shifting?

# Spin-Casting

- Very uniform film
- Easy to control distribution
- Very thin, possible too thin for FTIR analysis.
- Applicable to industry





Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) of AuCu NPs spun-coated at 2000 rpm. Max thickness is <800nm. Some samples between 500-200nm.

Spinner- not the same model I used

https://www.go-dove.com/event-14642/AL-Technologies-Epiwafers-Optical-Electronics/lot-72/HEADWAY-RESEARCH-O101D-R485-Resist-Spinner-with-Dispensing-System-Heat

#### Spin coating vs Drop-casting for Cu with TOABr sample

#### Spin coating- 2 layers

Spin coating- 1 layer

Drop cast- 1 drop



### **Microcontact Printing**

- Stamping nanoparticles onto substrate using polymer stamp
- Uneven distribution
- Optimum conditions?
- Needs a perfected technique
- Good with solutions with less organics (Pt, Au hexane solutions)
- Good for larger particle size





### $Pt_{45}V_{18}Co_{37}$ Stamped, 3 drops vs drop-casting and after annealing



Drop cast, 3 drops, 100X magnification



Microcontact printing sample after annealing at 400°C for 15 minutes



Microcontact printing, 3 drops, 100X magnification



AFM of annealed sample Thickness = ~200nm.

#### Pt<sub>45</sub>V<sub>18</sub>Co<sub>37</sub> Drop cast vs stamping

Stamped, 3 drops

Drop cast, 3 drops 1.06 Pt45V18Co37, -3m, drop.cast, 3 drops, res=4, ap=100, gain=8, signal=2.67\_math Pt45V18Co37, -3m, stamped, 3 drops, res=4, ap=100, gain=8, signal=2.20\_math 144 1.04 1.02 More 1.00 prominent 0.98 Single Beam 0.96 deeper 0.94 0.92 Could be mistaken for noise 0.90 0.88 0.86 3500 3000 2500 1500 1000 2000 500 4000 Wavenumbers (cm-1)

More particles on the stamped samples!

#### Pt<sub>44</sub>Ni<sub>14</sub>Co<sub>42</sub> before and after annealing



Stamped, before annealing



Stamped, after annealing at 400°C for 20 minutes

Next steps: TEM or AFM to get thickness and a closer image?

#### Pt<sub>44</sub>Ni<sub>14</sub>Co<sub>42</sub>, stamped and annealed



Annealing removed a lot of organics.

# Conclusion

- FTIR can be used to identify capping agents used in synthesis of metallic nanoparticles
- Spin-casting method works well with high concentrations and small diameters
- Micro-contact printing works well with larger diameters and lower concentrations
- Heat activation to remove organics effective with some metallic nanoparticles

### Future Work

- XRD on annealed samples to observe change in structure
- Experiment with spin-casting.
- More powerful etching?
- Microcontact printing-mastering the technique
- Comparison with nanoparticle samples with different sizes or capping agents?

# Thank you